

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/577,260	Applicant(s) AUBERSON ET AL.		
	Examiner NOBLE JARRELL	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; vertical-align: top;"> Art Unit 1624 </td> <td style="width: 50%;"></td> </tr> </table>	Art Unit 1624	
Art Unit 1624				

All Participants:

(1) NOBLE JARRELL.

(2) Ann Summerfield.

Date of Interview: 19 June 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 10:00 AM

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Ms. Summerfield gave permission for the removal of the phrase "for instance, a ruthenium , tungsten or molybdenum complex," from claim 2.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Noble Jarrell/
Examiner, Art Unit 1624

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)